



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/737,168	12/13/2000	Timothy Walker	AUTOB.102A	8423
20995	7590	04/07/2006	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			HAVAN, THU THAO	
2040 MAIN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FOURTEENTH FLOOR				
IRVINE, CA 92614			3624	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/737,168	WALKER, TIMOTHY	
	Examiner Thu Thao Havan	Art Unit 3624	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 January 2006.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____. |

Detailed Action

Response to Amendment

Claims 1-24 are pending. This action is in response to the remarks received January 10, 2006.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bennett et al. (US 2001/0039516).

Re claim 1, Bennett teaches an electronic vehicle loan approval system (para. 0016) comprising:

an electronic vehicle loan application, wherein the application permits entry of loan data and first vehicle data from a vehicle dealership (para. 0039 and 0017; Bennett discloses product of interest is an automobile or other vehicle and a lender may offer loans for specific manufacturer's products);

a credit score module comprising computer readable instructions configured to accept the loan data, process it in accordance with a predetermined credit score formula and provide a credit score for a consumer (para. 0055; figs. 3-5; Bennett discloses a credit rating engine is a credit score module);

a qualification module comprising computer readable instructions configured to electronically submit said credit score to a plurality of banks and receive a plurality of loan approvals based at least one of said banks (para. 0119 and 0059-0060); and

an ordering module comprising computer readable instructions for ordering the list of loans based on their value to the vehicle dealership (para. 0123 and 0119; Bennett discloses loan information is constructed/ordered and displayed).

Re claims 2 and 6, Bennett teaches first vehicle data comprises the make, model, year and price of the automobile (para. 0039). Bennett discloses the product of interest is an automobile or other vehicle, a lender may only offer a certain interest rate (e.g., 2.9%) for a specific manufacturer's model (e.g., Ford Explorer).

Re claims 3 and 17-18, Bennett teaches credit score module comprises instructions for retrieving a credit report on the consumer (para. 0050-0051).

Re claim 4, Bennett teaches qualification module comprises a table of rules for approving loans (para. 0075 and 0098).

Re claim 5, Bennett teaches qualification module comprises instructions for retrieving automobile data from an automobile inventory database (para. 0059 and 0039).

Re claims 7 and 21, Bennett teaches qualification module comprises instructions for determining whether the consumer qualifies through a second bank for a second vehicle (para. 0064).

Re claims 8, 12, 16, 22, and 24, Bennett teaches a computerized method of determining the most advantageous loan application for a vehicle dealership, comprising:

determining a credit score of an electronic vehicle loan application for a first vehicle submitted by a vehicle dealership (0055; 0039 and 0017; figs. 3-5; ; Bennett discloses product of interest is an automobile or other vehicle and a lender may offer loans for specific manufacturer's products. He discloses a credit rating engine that determines a credit score);

comparing the credit score with a predetermined lending criteria to determine if the loan application meets the lending requirements of one or more banks (fig. 1; Bennett discloses multiples lenders);

submitting the loan application to the one or more banks if the lending requirements have been met (para. 0074, 0119, and 0059-0060; Bennett evaluates loan approval);

receiving a denial of the loan application from at least one of the one or more banks, wherein responsive to said denial, a second vehicle is selected from an inventory of vehicles (para.);

resubmitting the loan application for the second vehicle to the bank that denied the loan application for the first vehicle (para. 0099 and 0055; Bennett discloses buyer is not qualified as meaning denial of the loan application.);

receiving a plurality of loan approvals from said one or more banks (figs. 10 and 5;

Bennett discloses multiples loan approvals); and

ranking said approved loans based on the revenue that each of said approved loans would generate for the dealership, thereby creating a list of approved loans (fig. 13; Bennett calculates best deal for buyers in relation to the approved loans).

Re claims **9, 13, and 19**, Bennett teaches credit score is determined by a credit agency (para. 0064). Bennett discloses a third party credit agency obtains buyer credit information from the credit reporting database.

Re claims **10, 14, 20, and 23**, Bennett teaches denial of the loan application comprises receiving a reason code that explains the rationale for the denial (para. 0099 and 0055). Bennett discloses buyer is not qualified as meaning denial of the loan application.

Re claims **11 and 15**, Bennett teaches a database of vehicles (para. 0051-0052). Bennett discloses lender's loan database in relation to vehicles.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lockwood (US 7,010,508)

Schloss et al., US patent no. 2002/0065753

Perg et al, US 2005/0080698

Wisecarver, III et al. US 2002/0073022

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thu Thao Havan whose telephone number is (571) 272-8111. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on (571) 272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct-uspto.gov/>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at (866) 217-9197 (toll-free).

TTH
4/2/2006



HANI M. KAZIMI
PRIMARY EXAMINER